



## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT  
(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference <b>F17676 KCT</b>	<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b> See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/PEA/416)	
International application No. <b>PCT/IB 03/05426</b>	International filing date (day/month/year) <b>26.11.2003</b>	Priority date (day/month/year) <b>26.11.2002</b>
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC <b>A47F5/00</b>		
Applicant <b>MELTZER, Michael</b>		
<p>1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</p> <p>2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).</p> <p>These annexes consist of a total of 4 sheets.</p>		
<p>3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <p>I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Basis of the opinion</p> <p>II <input type="checkbox"/> Priority</p> <p>III <input type="checkbox"/> Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</p> <p>IV <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of unity of invention</p> <p>V <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</p> <p>VI <input type="checkbox"/> Certain documents cited</p> <p>VII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain defects in the international application</p> <p>VIII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain observations on the international application</p>		
Date of submission of the demand  <b>24.06.2004</b>	Date of completion of this report  <b>08.12.2004</b>	
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:   <b>European Patent Office</b> <b>D-80298 Munich</b> <b>Tel. +49 89 23399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d</b> <b>Fax: +49 89 23399 - 4465</b>	Authorized Officer:  <b>Aiff, R</b>  Telephone No. <b>+49 89 23399-2079</b> 	

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY  
EXAMINATION REPORT**International application No. **PCT/IB 03/05426****I. Basis of the report**

1. With regard to the elements of the international application (*Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)*):

**Description, Pages**

1-11 as originally filed

**Claims, Numbers**

1-10 received on 24.11.2004 with letter of 10.11.2004

**Drawings, Sheets**

1/4-4/4 as originally filed

2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
- ☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).
3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
- ☐ contained in the international application in written form.
- ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
- ☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
- ☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☐ the description, pages:
- ☐ the claims, Nos.:
- ☐ the drawings, sheets:

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY  
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/B 03/05426

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

*(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)*

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

**V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

**1. Statement**

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	1-10
	No: Claims	
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	1-10
	No: Claims	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1-10
	No: Claims	

**2. Citations and explanations**

see separate sheet

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY  
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/IB 03/05426

**Re Item V**

1. Reference is made to the following document:  
D1: US 5 386 961 A
2. Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses a system for supporting at least one article including a support structure, a clamp attachable to the support structure and an article support formation attachable to the clamp from which the subject-matter of claim 1 differs in that the attachment formations in the form of a plurality of ridges of the clamp and the complementary recesses of the article support formation each have a dovetail cross-sectional profile.

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).

Such a dovetail cross-sectional profile of the attachment formation may provide more flexibility and durability on the resilient arms of the clamp. The use of a dovetail cross-sectional profile for an attachment formation in combination with a system for supporting at least one article is not disclosed, neither suggested in the available prior art. Claim 1 of the present application is therefore considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

3. Claims 2-10 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

**Further remarks**

1. The amended claim 5, filed with the letter dated 10. November 2004 introduce subject-matter which extends beyond the content of the application as filed, contrary to Articles 19(2) and 34(2)(b) PCT.
2. The so-called "omnibus-claims" 8-10 leave the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical features to which they refer, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claims unclear, Article 6 PCT.